

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
6 December 2001 (06.12.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 01/93039 A1**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **G06F 11/16**,  
G05B 9/03, G05D 3/00, B64C 13/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE01/01123

(22) International Filing Date: 18 May 2001 (18.05.2001)

(25) Filing Language: Swedish

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
0001910-9 22 May 2000 (22.05.2000) SE

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **SAAB AB**  
[SE/SE]; S-581 88 Linköping (SE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **JOHANSSON, Rikard** [SE/SE]; Middagsgatan 96, S-589 53 Linköping (SE). **TORIN, Jan** [SE/SE]; Kaktusvägen 5, S-434 46 Kungsbacka (SE). **AHLSTRÖM, Kristina** [SE/SE]; Rådsgatan 24, S-421 65 Västra Frölunda (SE).

(74) Agent: **YDRESKOG, Margareta**; Saab AB, S-581 88 Linköping (SE).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, CZ (utility model), DE, DE (utility model), DK, DK (utility model), DM, DZ, EE, EE (utility model), ES, FI, FI (utility model), GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SK (utility model), SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.


(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**


- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: CONTROL SYSTEM FOR ACTUATORS IN AN AIRCRAFT



(57) Abstract: A control system for calculating control commands for actuators in an aircraft, wherein the control commands are calculated by computers distributed in the craft in dependence on input signals containing parameters that serve as the basis for said commands, wherein a computer (C) is arranged at each respective local actuator (A) and, together with the actuator (A), forms a servo node (S) where the computer (C) receives input signals via data bus (B), whereby the computer (C) in each servo node (S) calculates control commands for the local actuator (A) based on one or more sets of control laws in dependence on received parameters, and wherein the computer (C) calculates, in a corresponding manner, control commands for at least one additional actuator (A) in another servo node (S), and wherein a choice of control commands is used as the control command (7) for the actuator (A) locally in each servo node (S) in dependence on a comparison between the control commands (4) calculated locally in the servo node (S) and a control command (3) calculated for the actuator (A) in at least one other servo node (S) for the actuator (A).



WO 01/93039 A1

5 Control system for actuators in an aircraft.

## TECHNICAL AREA

10 The invention concerns a device and a method for creating control commands for actuators in an aircraft, whereby the control commands are calculated in dependence on control parameters obtained from sensors and distributed to computers, wherein the control commands are calculated in accordance with control laws that apply to each actuator.

## 15 STATE OF THE ART

Systems that require control commands are described below, with such a system being exemplified by an aircraft. However, this is not a limitation, since the technology is capable of use in all types of systems where the same types of problems exist. There are a number of applications in which redundant computers are used to achieve high reliability in connection with the calculation of control commands for aircraft. One such application is found in connection with the control system for a modern aircraft, in which a "fly-by-wire" control system is used to replace the mechanical systems formerly used. The control commands for such a system are generated by means of, e.g. three redundant digital and asynchronous primary flight computers (channels); see Figure 3. These three primary flight computers 10 are centralized to form a unit, the autopilot 11. Each channel has its own set of transmitters. The channels can exchange transmitter data via an internal digital link in the autopilot. Each of the autopilot channels calculates one control command for each actuator 13, which are in turn mechanically connected by a control surface. These commands are voted for in a voter 14 at each actuator in such a way that if one control command is incorrect, the other two channels can together compensate for the error that has arisen. This method, in which more than one channel controls one actuator requires that functions for solving the problem of so-called

"force fight" be built into the autopilot.

Communication between the autopilot and transmitters/actuators occurs via analog or digital point-to-point communication.

A centralized control system of the type described above comprises a physical unit, the autopilot which, should it become disabled (e.g. as a result of damage during combat), causes the entire system to stop functioning. The fact that all the computing power is concentrated in one processor per channel means that there are no limits on the ways that any programming error might affect the system. In those cases where signaling between the autopilot and the actuators/transmitters occurs by analog means, the task of integrating the various units is relatively complex.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

According to one aspect of the invention, it comprises a control system that supplies actuators in an aircraft with control commands, wherein the control commands are calculated in computers distributed in the aircraft and in dependence on input signals obtained from sensors via a data bus and containing parameters that provide the basis for calculating said commands, and wherein the system is designed so that one computer is arranged locally at each actuator, whereby the computer and its associated actuator form a servo node with a digital interface to the data bus. The computer in a servo node calculates the control commands, in accordance with control laws stored in the computer, for the local actuator in the servo node, plus the control commands for at least one additional actuator in another servo node. Locally at each actuator, a choice of control commands for the actuator is used as the control command, which choice depends on a comparison between the control command calculated locally in the servo node and the control command calculated non-locally by a computer in at least one additional servo node, which is obtained via the data bus.

The actuators can operate in two modes; one mode in which they function normally, i.e. assume their commanded positions, and another mode, a so-called "fail-safe" mode which, in the case of an aircraft, entails that the actuator permits its control surface to follow the  
5 airstream ("free-winging"). In its normal mode, the actuator will operate as long as a pulse is being sent via a special signal. If the pulse disappears, the actuator will switch over to its fail-safe mode.

The servo node computer in each servo node calculates not only the control command for its  
10 own actuator, but also the control command for one or more other servo nodes. The non-locally calculated control commands are sent via the data bus to the other servo nodes in the control system. Each servo node thus receives a number of externally-calculated control commands intended for its own actuator. These externally calculated control commands are, together with the locally, i.e. internally calculated control command calculated in the servo  
15 node itself, passed through a voter, e.g. a Mid-Level Voter, whereupon one of the control commands is selected as the actuator command and consequently used to control the actuator. This process prevents most single failures from affecting the control surface. The selected, transmitted control command and the control command calculated locally in the servo node are monitored in a monitoring unit and must, as long as no transient errors occur, be identical.  
20 If they are not, certain types of errors can be identified and rectified, e.g. via so-called double execution, i.e. in that each servo node contains a number of sets of control laws, whereby each such set produces its own control command via the servo computer. Each set of control laws uses its own set of parameters to execute the control commands. The executions of the various sets of control laws are separated in time, and occur in such a way that a transient error in, e.g.  
25 the input data will only affect the execution of one set of control laws. Whichever of the control laws produces an output signal that agrees with the command sent to the actuator can then be considered to be correct since, according to the foregoing rationale, the actuator cannot be affected by most single failures. The value of the state variables from the set of control laws

that is considered to be correct is then copied to the control law/laws that calculated an incorrect control command, whereupon there are more correct sets of control laws to proceed with at the next instance of execution. Another alternative means of rectifying transient errors is to have just one set of control laws in each servo node but, in the event of an error, to copy the value of the state variables from an error-free servo node to the faulty servo node via the data bus.

The servo node also internally monitors its own function with the help of, e.g. a so-called "Watch Dog Monitor" or WDM, in a known manner. The function of the actuator is monitored by means of, e.g. a model monitor. If a fault is detected by the internal monitoring or at the actuator by the model monitor, the actuator will be commanded to assume its fail-safe mode in that a pulse will no longer be sent to the actuator. If a serious fault occurs in the servo node, computer or electronics, the node will be unable to deliver any pulse, whereupon the actuator will again be switched to its fail-safe mode.

The advantages achieved using a control system according to the invention consist in that:

- higher damage tolerance is achieved, since there is no central and thus critical unit;
- maintenance costs will be lower, in that a complex central unit is replaced by multiple simpler and mutually interchangeable devices;
- troubleshooting, fault-localization and integration of the control system units are simplified, since the servo nodes have only digital interfaces with the rest of the system.

## FIGURE DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows a servo node in a control system according to the invention, and its connection to the control system data bus. The figure is merely a functional depiction, and does not give a physical description of the servo node.

Figure 2 provides an overview of the structure of a control system with distributed computers according to the invention, i.e. one computer function for each actuator.

5

Figure 3 illustrates a control system according to the prior art with three primary control computers arranged centrally, and wherein the computers are arranged in parallel for redundancy.

## 10 DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

A number of embodiments of the invention are described below with reference to the accompanying figures.

15 Figure 2 provides an overview of a number of actuators A distributed throughout an aircraft. The actuators A have the purpose of executing a control maneuver, such as actuating a valve or controlling an engine, an electrical machine, a relay, a rudder surface or some other corresponding actuatable device. The actuators A are controlled by means of computers C, which are deployed in servo nodes (S). Each computer C contains stored control laws for  
20 calculating control commands for the actuator A in the servo node (S) to which said computer belongs, and for at least one additional actuator A in another servo node (S). The control of the actuator A is determined by parameters that are obtained via sensors G in the system. The sensors G can consist of miscellaneous data transmitters such as speed indicators, temperature gages, pressure gages, steering controls, etc. The aforesaid sensor parameters are  
25 digitally transmitted as data via a data bus B, whereby all the servo nodes (S) in the system have access to exactly the same sensor data. The computer C in each servo node (S) can thus calculate, based on received sensor data, a control command for the actuator A in its own servo node and for at least one actuator A in another servo node (S), based on control laws programmed into the computer C.

A servo node in the control system is described separately in Figure 1, where the servo node contains a computer C that is connected to the actuator A. All sensor data (2) are sent to the computer C via the data bus B. These data are used by the control laws F to calculate at least two local control commands (4) according to the double-execution principle, or just one control command (4) in the event that double-execution is no longer intended to be used. One control command (1) is calculated for at least one additional actuator in another servo node (S). The control command/commands (4) calculated locally by the servo node for itself is/are voted on together with a number of non-locally calculated control commands (3) for its actuator A in a voter H, e.g. a "Mid-Level-Voter". The resulting control command (7) is used to control the actuator A. The selected actuator command (7) and the local control command/commands (4) are monitored by a monitoring function I. If the locally calculated control command/commands (4) do not agree perfectly with the actuator command (7), one of the following actions is carried out:

- If double-execution is used: determine which of the local control commands (4) is correct. The state variables for the set of control laws for the correct control command are copied to the sets of control laws that calculated an incorrect control command.

- If double-execution is not used: the state variables are copied from a correctly functioning servo node (S) to the malfunctioning servo node (S) via the data bus.

In either case, the correct local control command/commands (4) is/are obtained at the next point of execution, assuming that no transient faults occur. If, for any reason, it is not possible to cope with the transient fault, the non-locally calculated control commands (3) may, as a first option, be used to control the actuator A. If this is not possible either, the actuator A will be put into its fail-safe mode in that the pulsed signal will cease. The monitoring function I will then also stop sending the pulsed signal (8) if the internal monitoring function W in the computer has detected any faults. The internal monitoring function W is designed in such a way that it has a high probability of being able to detect if the computer C is not functioning in

the intended way; such monitoring can be realized by using a "Watch-Dog-Monitor" in a known manner. The monitoring function I also stops sending the pulsed signal (8) if the actuator monitoring function J detects that the actuator is not behaving in the expected way;  
5 this can be realized via a so-called "model monitor" in a known manner, based on the actuator commands and certain parameters from the actuator (9).

Other servo nodes (S) in the control system function in the manner described above. The signals that are mediated to the respective servo computers are digital. The various servo  
10 nodes (S) work in synchrony.

---



## CLAIMS

1. A control system for calculating control commands for actuators in an aircraft,  
whereby the control commands are calculated by computers distributed in the aircraft  
5 in dependence on input signals from sensors (6) that detect control parameters for the  
control system, which computers are arranged to receive input signals via a data bus  
(B) and calculate control commands for a first actuator (A) and for at least one  
additional actuator in dependence on received control parameters, whereupon an  
executive control command for each respective actuator is arranged to be selected and  
10 transferred to the respective actuator, **characterized in that**
  - a computer (C) is placed at a respective first actuator (A) and, together with the  
actuator (A), forms a servo node (S) with a digital interface with the data bus,
  - the computer (C) in each servo node is arranged to receive, via the data bus, control  
15 commands for the actuator (A) determined by a computer in at least one additional  
servo node (S),
  - the computer (C) in each servo node (S) is arranged to select the executive control  
command for the actuator (A) in the servo node in dependence on a comparison  
between the control commands (4) calculated locally in the servo node (S) and the  
control commands received via the data bus,
  - 20 - the computer (C) is arranged to transfer the executive control command to the  
actuator in the servo node.
2. A control system according to claim 1, **characterized in that** a computer (C) in the  
servo node (S) contains programs with control laws for calculating control commands  
25 for the actuator (A) in its own servo node, plus control laws for calculating control  
commands for actuators (A) in at least one other servo node (S).
3. A control system according to claim 2, **characterized in that** each servo node (S)  
contains a voting function for calculating an executive control command (7) for the

actuator (A) based on both the control commands calculated locally in the servo node itself and on control commands calculated in at least one other servo node (S).

4. A control system according to claim 3, **characterized in that** a monitoring function (I) in the servo node (S) receives the executive control command (7) and the locally calculated control commands (4) and compares these control commands, which must be identical.
5. A control system according to claim 4, **characterized in that**, as long as no fault is present, the monitoring unit (I) transmits a pulsed control signal (8) to the actuator (A), whereupon the pulsed control signal (8) must be present at the actuator in order for a control command to be executable, so that if the pulsed control signal ceases, the actuator will be set to its fail-safe mode.
6. A control system according to claim 5, **characterized in that** the computer (C) in a servo node (S) contains an internal monitoring function (W) that monitors the computer functionality and, in the event of a fault, sends information (5) to the monitoring unit (I), whereupon the pulsed control signal (8) is not transmitted, which causes the actuator to be set to its fail-safe mode.
7. A control system according to claim 6, **characterized in that** the function of the actuator (A) is monitored by a model monitor (J) that detects any malfunction of the actuator (A), whereby the monitoring unit (I) obtains information (6) about the fault, whereupon the pulsed signal is terminated and the actuator is set to its fail-safe mode.
8. A control system according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the computer (C) contains multiple sets of control laws and compares the control commands (4) calculated in locally in the servo node (S) via double-execution with the actuator command (7) selected by voting, whereupon, in the event that the commands are not

exactly identical, the control commands (3) calculated in at least one other servo node (S) are used to determine which of the locally calculated control commands is correct.

- 5     9.     A control system according to claim 8, **characterized in that** the values of the state parameters in the control laws that produced the correct local control command are copied to the set of control laws that failed to produce a correct control command.
- 10     10.     A control system according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the computer (C) contains a set of control laws and compares the control command (4) calculated locally  
10     in the servo node (S) with the actuator command (7) selected by voting, whereupon, in the event that the commands are not exactly identical, the value of the state parameters of the control laws in a fault-free servo node (S) is copied to the state parameters in the control laws in the faulty servo node (S).
- 15     11.     A control system according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the control system signals are exclusively digital in nature, and in that the signals in the system are mediated via a data bus (B).
- 20     12.     A control system according to claim 11, **characterized in that** the data bus (B) is a logical "broadcast" bus or a star-configured bus.
13.     13.     A control system according to claim 1, **characterized in that** the servo nodes (S) in the control system work in synchrony.
- 25     14.     A control system according to claim 2, **characterized in that** the control system is used in an airplane, in that the sensors (G) contain gyros for detecting roll rate as well as speed indicators, and in that the actuators (A) are used to operate control surfaces.

1/2




Fig. 1

2/2




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: G06F 11/16, G05B 9/03, G05D 3/00, B64C 13/00  
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: G06F, G05B, G05D, B64C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched  
SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPI, EPODOC, PAJ

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 4672530 A (JACK A. SCHUSS), 9 June 1987 (09.06.87) --	1-16
X	US 4622667 A (LARRY J. YOUNT), 11 November 1986 (11.11.86), column 6, line 63 - column 8, line 6; column 14, line 34 - column 15, line 2, figure 2, abstract --	1-16
A	DE 3906846 A1 (BODENSEEWERK GERÄTETECHNIK GMBH), 6 Sept 1990 (06.09.90), column 3, line 56 - column 4, line 26; column 7, line 28 - column 8, line 10, figures 1,4, claim 4, abstract --	1-16

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☒ See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

25 Sept 2001

Date of mailing of the international search report

27 -09- 2001

Name and mailing address of the ISA/  
Swedish Patent Office  
Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM  
Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Johan Westerbergh/ELY  
Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 01/01123

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	FR 2628858 A1 (AEROSPATIALE SOCIETE NATIONALE INDUSTRIELLE), 22 Sept 1989 (22.09.89), page 9, line 35 - page 10, line 37; page 17, line 1 - page 19, line 30; page 22, line 20 - page 25, line 36, figures 5-7,10, claims 1,7,8, abstract --	1-16
A	US 5630053 A (TAKAHIRO MORIKAWA), 13 May 1997 (13.05.97), column 11, line 61 - column 12, line 67; column 10, line 19 - line 52, figures 3,4, 7, abstract --	1,7,8
A	US 4412281 A (GEORGE A. WORKS), 25 October 1983 (25.10.83), abstract --	1,11,12
A	WO 8400071 A1 (THE BOEING COMPANY), 5 January 1984 (05.01.84), figure 1, claims 1-5, abstract --	1-16
A	DE 3621106 A1 (VDO ADOLF SCHINDLING AG), 7 January 1988 (07.01.88), figures 1-2, abstract --	1-16
A	EP 0978777 A2 (DAIMLER CHRYSLER AG), 9 February 2000 (09.02.00), figure 1, abstract ----- -----	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT  
Information on patent family members

03/09/01

International application No.

PCT/SE 01/01123

Patent document cited in search report			Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US	4672530	A	09/06/87	IN	163485 A	01/10/88
US	4622667	A	11/11/86	CA	1228655 A	27/10/87
				DE	3576865 D	00/00/00
				EP	0186965 A,B	09/07/86
				JP	61128339 A	16/06/86
DE	3906846	A1	06/09/90	FR	2643995 A,B	07/09/90
FR	2628858	A1	22/09/89	NONE		
US	5630053	A	13/05/97	JP	7262148 A	13/10/95
				US	5898829 A	27/04/99
US	4412281	A	25/10/83	CA	1176337 A	16/10/84
				DE	3127349 A,C	16/09/82
				FR	2486682 A,B	15/01/82
				GB	2079997 A,B	27/01/82
				GB	2166271 A,B	30/04/86
				GB	8333623 D	00/00/00
				JP	1460620 C	28/09/88
				JP	57050064 A	24/03/82
				JP	63006894 B	12/02/88
				NL	8103136 A	01/02/82
WO	8400071	A1	05/01/84	DE	3279929 D	00/00/00
				EP	0110885 A,B	20/06/84
				US	4644538 A	17/02/87
DE	3621106	A1	07/01/88	NONE		
EP	0978777	A2	09/02/00	DE	19835191 C	20/04/00